1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5	***
6	KATHLEEN CERNETICH, et al.,
7	Plaintiffs,)
8) 2:09-cv-01115-JCM-LRL v.)
9) ORDER SCOTT JONES, et al.,
10)
11	Defendants.)
12	Before the court is defendants Whirlpool and Lowes' Motion for Determination of Good Faith
13	Settlement (#86).
14	On September 27, 2010, the undersigned conducted the settlement conference that resulted in
15	the settlement at issue. Defendant Scott Jones was ordered to participate in the settlement conference,
16	but did not do so. The settlement discussions were conducted at arms length, without collusion or fraud.
17	The parties realistically considered the potential for a damages award, and the risks of failure of proof
18	at trial. No effort was made to undermine the interests of the absent defendant Jones, who has not
19	opposed this request for a good faith determination. Indeed, on January 6, 2011, defendant Jones and
20	defendants Whirlpool and Lowes filed a Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice (#92), in which
21	Whirlpool and Lowes agreed to dismiss their cross-claims against Jones, and Jones agreed to dismiss
22	his counter-cross-claims against Whirlpool and Lowes.
23	•••
24	
25	•••

Case 2:09-cv-01115-JCM-VCF Document 95 Filed 01/11/11 Page 2 of 2

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants Whirlpool and Lowes' Motion for Determination of Good Faith Settlement (#86) is granted. The court finds and determines that the settlement reached in this case among the plaintiffs and defendants Whirlpool and Lowes was reached in good faith. DATED this 11th day of January, 2011. Mewit LAWRENCE R. LEAVITT UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE